

Nuclear norm of tensors and entanglement

Shmuel Friedland

Univ. Illinois at Chicago

Joint work with Lek-Heng Lim

15 June 2015, Jagellonian University

- Norms
- Spectral and nuclear norms for matrices and tensors
- Entanglement measure for bipartite and d -partite state
- Banach's theorem for symmetric states
- Nonseparability measure for d -partite density matrices
- NP-hardness of tensor and nuclear norms
- Banach's theorem for symmetric p -partite density matrices
- Quantum channels decrease nonseparability measure

A primer on norms I

$\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}$, - basic fields,

\mathbb{F}^m : $|\mathbf{x}\rangle := \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)^\top$, $\langle \mathbf{x}| := \mathbf{x}^* = (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m)$

$\nu : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a norm if

$\nu(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ if $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, $\nu(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \leq \nu(\mathbf{x}) + \nu(\mathbf{y})$, $\nu(a\mathbf{x}) = |a|\nu(\mathbf{x})$

$B_\nu := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n, \nu(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1\}$ -unit ball,

$S_\nu := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n, \nu(\mathbf{x}) = 1\}$ -unit sphere

Ext B_ν -extreme points of B_ν , Ext $B_\nu \subset S_\nu$

ν^\vee -the dual norm:

$\nu^\vee(\mathbf{x}) = \max\{\Re(\mathbf{y}^*\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y} \in B_\nu\} = \max\{|\mathbf{y}^*\mathbf{x}|, \mathbf{y} \in S_\nu\}$

$= \sup\{\Re(\mathbf{y}^*\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y} \in \text{Ext } B_\nu\}$,

A primer on norms II

$$(\nu^\vee)^\vee = \nu$$

$S \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ is **balanced**: $aS = S \forall a \in \mathbb{F}, |a| = 1$

spanning: $\text{span}S = \mathbb{F}^n$

If S is **compact, balanced, spanning**:

$\nu(\mathbf{x}) = \max\{\Re \mathbf{y}^* \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S\} \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ is a **norm**

and $B_{\nu^\vee} = \text{conv } S$

Euclidian norm $\|\mathbf{x}\| := \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{x}}$ is **self dual**

$B(\mathbf{x}, r) := \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^n, \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| \leq r\}, r \geq 0$

$\|\mathbf{x}\|_p := (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for $p \in [1, \infty]$, $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|$

Spectral norm and SVD for matrices

$\mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ - space of $m \times n$ matrices $A = [a_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{m,n}$

$$\langle A, B \rangle := \text{Tr}(AB^*),$$

$$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\text{Tr}AA^*} \text{ - Frobenius norm}$$

$$\|A\| = \sigma_1(A) := \max_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Ax\| \text{ - spectral, or operator, or } \ell_2 \text{ norm of } A$$

$$\Omega_{m,n,\mathbb{F}} := \{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^* \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}, \|\mathbf{u}\| = \|\mathbf{v}\| = 1\} \text{ balanced compact set}$$

$$\|A\| = \max\{\Re(\text{Tr}(A\mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}^*))\} = \max\{\Re(\mathbf{u}^*A\mathbf{v})\}, \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^* \in \Omega_{m,n,\mathbb{F}}\}$$

SVD decomposition:

$$A = U\Sigma V^*, UU^* = I_m, VV^* = I_n, \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{\min(m,n)})$$

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^*, \sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r > 0, r = \text{rank } A$$

Nuclear Norm for Matrices

Nuclear norm: $\|A\|_{nuc} := \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_i(A)$

F-norm: $\|A\|_F^2 = \sum \sigma_i^2$

p-Schatten norm $\|A\|_{p,S} = (\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(A)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$

If A is real valued then $\|A\|, \|A\|_{nuc}$ over real same as over complex

Complexity of computation of $\|A\|, \|A\|_{nuc}$ is $O(mn), O(\min(m, n)mn)$

Importance of matrix nuclear norm in missing entry completion:

Netflix problem

Minimal characterization of matrix nuclear norm

$$B_{nuc} := \{A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n} : \|A\|_{nuc} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i(A) \leq 1\}$$

$$A = \|A\|_{nuc} \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\sigma_i}{\|A\|_{nuc}} \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^*$$

The set of extreme points of B_{nuc} is $\Omega_{m,n,\mathbb{F}}$

Characterization of spectral norm gives $\|\cdot\|^V = \|\cdot\|_{nuc}$

$$\|A\|_{nuc} = \min\{\sum_{i=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_i\| \|\mathbf{y}_i^*\|, \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{y}_i^* = A\}$$

Proof $\|A\|_{nuc} = \|\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{y}_i^*\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{y}_i^*\|_{nuc} = \sum_{i=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_i\| \|\mathbf{y}_i^*\|$

Caratheodory: $\dim \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} = mn \Rightarrow$ it is sufficient $N = mn + 1$

$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} = 2mn \Rightarrow$ it is sufficient $N = 2mn + 1$

Choose $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ in general position (at random)

$$L(A, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N) := \{X := [\mathbf{x}_1 \dots \mathbf{x}_N] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times N}, A = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{y}_i^*\}$$

Find $\min_{X \in L(A, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N)} \|X\|_y, = [\mathbf{x}_{1,1} \dots \mathbf{x}_{N,1}], \|X\|_y := \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbf{x}_i\| \|\mathbf{y}_i\|$

Now repeat this minimization with respect to $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n$ and so on

Entanglement measure of bipartite states

$A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is a bipartite state:

$$1 = \|A\|_F = \|A\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_i(A)^2$$

Entanglement:

$$\eta(A) := \|A\|_{nuc} - \|A\|_F = \|A\|_{nuc} - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(A) - \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_i(A)^2}$$

$$0 \leq \eta(A) \leq \sqrt{\min(m, n)}$$

$\eta(A) = 0$ - A unentangled, product state

$\eta(A) = \sqrt{\min(m, n)}$ - maximally entangled state:

Example: $I_m \oplus 0_{m \times (n-m)}$ maximally entangled

Tensor notations

Indices: $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $[m] := \{1, \dots, m\}$

$$J = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subset [d]$$

Tensors: $\otimes_{i=1}^d \mathbb{F}^{m_i} = \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times \dots \times m_d} = \mathbb{F}^{\mathbf{m}}$

Contraction of $\mathcal{T} = [t_{i_1, \dots, i_d}] \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbf{m}}$ **with** $\mathcal{X} = [x_{j_1, \dots, j_k}] \in \otimes_{j_p \in J} \mathbb{F}^{m_{j_p}}$:

$$\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{X} = \sum_{i_{j_p} \in [m_{j_p}], j_p \in J} t_{i_1, \dots, i_d} x_{j_1, \dots, j_k} \in \otimes_{l \in [d] \setminus J} \mathbb{F}^{m_l}$$

$\|\mathcal{T}\| = \sqrt{\mathcal{T} \times \bar{\mathcal{T}}}$ - **Hilbert-Schmidt norm of** $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{m}}$

$\langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S} \rangle := \mathcal{T} \times \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ **inner product in** $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{m}}$

Tensor nuclear and spectral norms - 3-tensor

$$\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{F}^{l \times m \times n}, \quad \Omega_{m,n,l,\mathbb{F}} := \{\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n \times l}, \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\| \|\mathbf{z}\| = 1\}$$

$$\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} = |x\rangle|y\rangle|z\rangle$$

$$\|\mathcal{A}\|_{\sigma,\mathbb{F}} := \max_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z} \neq 0} \frac{\Re \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} \rangle}{\|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\| \|\mathbf{z}\|} =$$

$$\max\{|\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} \rangle|, \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z} \in \Omega_{m,n,l,\mathbb{F}}\} \text{ -spectral norm}$$

$$\|\mathcal{A}\|_{nuc,\mathbb{F}} := \min\left\{\sum_{i=1}^r \|\mathbf{x}_i\| \|\mathbf{y}_i\| \|\mathbf{z}_i\| : \mathcal{A} = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{x}_i \otimes \mathbf{y}_i \otimes \mathbf{z}_i, r \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$$

$$\|\cdot\|_{nuc,\mathbb{F}} = \|\cdot\|_{\sigma,\mathbb{F}}^{\vee},$$

$$\text{Ext } B_{\|\cdot\|_{nuc,\mathbb{F}}} = \Omega_{m,n,l,\mathbb{F}}.$$

Hillar-Lim: Spectral norm is NP-hard to compute

Friedland-Lim: Nuclear norm is NP-hard to compute

Measure of entanglement of tensor

$$\mathcal{A} \in \otimes_{j=1}^d \mathbb{C}^{n_j} \text{ } d\text{-partite state: } 1 = \|\mathcal{A}\|_{HS} = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \rangle$$

Physical interpretation of $\|\mathcal{A}\|_{nuc}$: the minimal ℓ_1 energy to express d -partite state as linear combination of pure states

Measure of entanglement:

$$\eta(\mathcal{A}) := \|\mathcal{A}\|_{nuc} - 1 \geq 0$$

$$\eta(\mathcal{A}) = 0 \text{ iff } \mathcal{A} \text{ product space}$$

What is the maximum entanglement and the maximal entangled state?

Symmetric states and Banach's theorem

$\mathcal{A} = [a_{i_1, \dots, i_d}] \in \otimes^d \mathbb{C}^n$ symmetric:

$a_{i_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(d)}} = a_{i_1, \dots, i_d}$ for each permutation σ

A symmetric state is a linear combination of pure symmetric states:

$$\otimes^d \mathbf{x} = |\mathbf{x}\rangle^d$$

Banach 1938: $\|\mathcal{A}\|_\sigma = \max\{|\langle \mathcal{A}, \otimes^d \mathbf{x} \rangle|, \|\mathbf{x}\| = 1\}$

Cor. : The minimal decomposition of symmetric state \mathcal{A}

for nuclear norm is achieved by pure symmetric states

Computational advantage for computing $\eta(\mathcal{A})$.

4-tensors and bi-partite density matrices

$$\mathbb{C}^{m \times m} \supset \mathbb{H}^{m \times m} \supset \mathbb{H}_+^{m \times m} \supset \mathbb{H}_{+,1}^{m \times m}$$

Hermitian, positive definite and density matrices

$A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$, $B = [b_{kl}] \in \mathbb{F}^{p \times q}$, Kronecker product $A \otimes B$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & \dots & a_{1n}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & \dots & a_{mn}B \end{bmatrix} = [c_{(i,k)(j,l)}] \in \mathbb{F}^{(mp) \times (nq)}, c_{(i,k)(j,l)} = a_{ij}b_{kl}$$

Viewing $C := [c_{(i,k)(j,l)}] \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times p \times n \times q}$ we get $\mathbb{F}^{m \times n} \otimes \mathbb{F}^{p \times q} \sim \mathbb{F}^{m \times p \times n \times q}$

$C = [c_{i,k,j,l}] \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times m \times n}$ is called:

Bi-hermitian: $c_{i,k,j,l} = \bar{c}_{j,l,i,k}$ for all i, j, k, l - $C = [c_{(i,k)(j,l)}]$ hermitian

Positive definite: C is hermitian positive semi-definite,

bi-partite density matrix: $\text{Tr } C = 1$

Bi-partite separable states and nuclear norm

Separable states in $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times m \times n}$:

$$S(m, n) := \text{conv} \{(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}^*) \otimes (\mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{y}^*), \|\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{y}\| = 1\} \subset \mathbb{H}_{mn,+,1}$$

For $\mathcal{A} = [a_{ikjl}] \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times m \times n}$ define $\text{tr}(\mathcal{A}) := \sum_{i,j} a_{ijij}$ ($= \text{Tr } \mathcal{C}$)

Note $\text{Tr} \otimes_{j=1}^4 \mathbf{x}_j = (\mathbf{x}_3^\top \mathbf{x}_1)(\mathbf{x}_4^\top \mathbf{x}_2) \leq \prod_{i=1}^4 \|\mathbf{x}_i\|$

THM: $|\text{Tr } \mathcal{A}| \leq \|\mathcal{A}\|_{nuc}$ equality iff $\mathcal{A} = t\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{B} \in S(m, n)$

Cor. A bipartite density matrix is separable iff its nuclear norm is 1

Measurement of separability: $\eta(\mathcal{A}) := \|\mathcal{A}\|_{nuc} - 1$.

In the minimum decomposition of nonseparable density matrices as product hermitian states appear negative definite terms

NP-hardness results

Gurvits 2003: Weak membership in $S(m, n)$ is NP-hard \Rightarrow :

Membership in the unit ball of nuclear norm on $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times m \times n}$ NP-hard

Friedland-Lim: Weak membership is NP-hard

Outline of NP-hardness arguments:

A weak membership in B_ν is polynomial iff

A weak membership in B_{ν^\vee} is polynomial

$\nu(\mathbf{x})$ can be approximated polynomially

computation of spectral norm of bipartite density matrix is NP-hard

WMEM for nuclear tensor norm is NP-hard

Finding an ϵ approximation to spectral norm is NP-hard

Equivalent to WMEM in the unit ball of nuclear norm

Finding an WMEM in the unit ball of spectral norm is NP-hard

WMEM for nuclear norm is NP-hard

WMEM of nuclear norm is equivalent to approximation of nuclear norm

Approximation of nuclear norm is NP-hard

Banach's theorem for symmetric d -partite density matrices

$\mathcal{A} = [a_{i,j,k,l}] \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times m \times n}$ bipartite density matrix

Variational characterization of spectral norm as maximal λ :

$$\mathcal{A} \times \mathbf{y}^* \otimes \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{x}, \quad \mathcal{A} \times \mathbf{x}^* \otimes \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{y}, \quad \|\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{y}\| = 1$$

\mathcal{A} symmetric if $m = n$ and $a_{i,j,k,l} = a_{j,i,k,l}$ for all indices

Banach's theorem 1: $\|\mathcal{A}\|_\sigma = \max\{\langle \mathcal{A}, \bar{\mathbf{x}} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}} \otimes \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x} \rangle, \|\mathbf{x}\| = 1\}$

Maximal eigenvector: $\mathcal{A} \times \bar{\mathbf{x}} \otimes \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x} = \|\mathcal{A}\|_\sigma \mathbf{x}$

Banach's theorem 2: minimal decomposition of symmetric p -density

matrix for nuclear norm given by pure symmetric states $\otimes^p \bar{\mathbf{x}} \otimes^p \mathbf{x}$

Quantum channels decrease inseparability measure

$Q : \mathbb{C}^{M \times M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ **completely positive**:

$$Q(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^k A_i \rho A_i^*, \quad A_i \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$$

Q-quantum channel: $\sum_{i=1}^k A_i^* A_i = I_M$ (trace preserving)

Q-unital channel: $\sum_{i=1}^k A_i A_i^* = I_N$





Bipartite Q: $A_i = A_{i,1} \otimes A_{i,2}$ for all i

Thm 1: Bipartite quantum channel decreases nuclear norm of \mathcal{A}





Bipartite quantum channel decreases nonseparability $\eta(\mathcal{A})$

Thm 2: Bipartite unital channel decreases spectral norm of \mathcal{A}

References 1

-  S. Banach, Über homogene polynome in (L^2) , *Studia Math.* 7 (1938), 36–44.
-  B. Chen, S. He, Z. Li, and S. Zhang, Maximum block improvement and polynomial optimization, *SIAM J. Optimization*, 22 (2012), 87–107
-  S. Friedland. Best rank one approximation of real symmetric tensors can be chosen symmetric, *Front. Math. China*, 8 (1) (2013), 19–40.
-  S. Friedland and L.-H. Lim, Computational Complexity of Tensor Nuclear Norm, arXiv:1410.6072.

References 2

-  Grötschel, M., Lovàsz, L., Schrijver, A.: Geometric Algorithms and Combinatorial Optimization.
-  L. Gurvits. Classical deterministic complexity of Edmonds problem and quantum entanglement, Proc. of the 35th ACM symp. on Theory of comp., pages 10–19, New York, 2003. ACM Press.
-  C.J. Hillar and L.-H. Lim, "Most tensor problems are NP-hard," Journal of the ACM, 60 (2013), no. 6, Art. 45, 39 pp.
-  L.-H. Lim. Singular values and eigenvalues of tensors: a variational approach. *Proc. IEEE International Workshop on Computational Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Processing (CAMSAP '05)*, 1 (2005), 129-132.