

# Generalized entropies: its connections with Shannon and Kolmogorov-Sinai entropies and an invariant based on this concept

Fryderyk Falniowski

Department of Mathematics, Cracow University of Economics

seminarium „Chaos i informacja kwantowa”,  
Kraków, 4.11.2013

Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a probability space

$T : X \rightarrow X$  - (measurable) measure-preserving transformation

For a finite partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{E_1, \dots, E_k\}$  we consider a join partition

$$\mathcal{P}_n := \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{P} := \left\{ \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i, \text{ where } A_i \in T^{-i}\mathcal{P} \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, n-1 \right\}$$

where

$$T^{-i}\mathcal{P} = \{T^{-i}E_1, \dots, T^{-i}E_k\}.$$

Let

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0; \\ -x \ln x, & x \in (0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a probability space

$T : X \rightarrow X$  - (measurable) measure-preserving transformation

For a finite partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{E_1, \dots, E_k\}$  we consider a join partition

$$\mathcal{P}_n := \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{P} := \left\{ \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i, \text{ where } A_i \in T^{-i}\mathcal{P} \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, n-1 \right\}$$

where

$$T^{-i}\mathcal{P} = \{T^{-i}E_1, \dots, T^{-i}E_k\}.$$

Let

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0; \\ -x \ln x, & x \in (0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a probability space

$T : X \rightarrow X$  - (measurable) measure-preserving transformation

For a finite partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{E_1, \dots, E_k\}$  we consider a join partition

$$\mathcal{P}_n := \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{P} := \left\{ \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i, \text{ where } A_i \in T^{-i}\mathcal{P} \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, n-1 \right\}$$

where

$$T^{-i}\mathcal{P} = \{T^{-i}E_1, \dots, T^{-i}E_k\}.$$

Let

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0; \\ -x \ln x, & x \in (0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

# Dynamical and Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy

Let

$$H(\mathcal{P}_n) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}_n} \eta(\mu(A)).$$

We define the entropy of the transformation  $T$  with respect to the partition  $\mathcal{P}$  (the dynamical entropy) as

$$h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}) = h(\mathcal{P}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\mathcal{P}_n). \quad (1)$$

For a given system  $(X, \Sigma, \mu, T)$  we define the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy of  $T$  (with respect to  $\mu$ ) as

$$h_\mu(g, T) = \sup_{\mathcal{P} \text{ - finite}} h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}). \quad (2)$$

# Dynamical and Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy

Let

$$H(\mathcal{P}_n) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}_n} \eta(\mu(A)).$$

We define the entropy of the transformation  $T$  with respect to the partition  $\mathcal{P}$  (the dynamical entropy) as

$$h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}) = h(\mathcal{P}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\mathcal{P}_n). \quad (1)$$

For a given system  $(X, \Sigma, \mu, T)$  we define the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy of  $T$  (with respect to  $\mu$ ) as

$$h_\mu(g, T) = \sup_{\mathcal{P} \text{ - finite}} h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}). \quad (2)$$

# Dynamical and Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy

Let

$$H(\mathcal{P}_n) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}_n} \eta(\mu(A)).$$

We define the entropy of the transformation  $T$  with respect to the partition  $\mathcal{P}$  (the dynamical entropy) as

$$h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}) = h(\mathcal{P}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\mathcal{P}_n). \quad (1)$$

For a given system  $(X, \Sigma, \mu, T)$  we define the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy of  $T$  (with respect to  $\mu$ ) as

$$h_\mu(g, T) = \sup_{\mathcal{P} \text{-finite}} h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}). \quad (2)$$

# Reasons of the generalization

- ▶ KS entropy is an isomorphism invariant; what about systems with equal entropy (e.g. zero entropy systems)
- ▶ how important are properties of  $\eta(x) = -x \ln x$  for the entropy in dynamical systems
- ▶ many articles were written by physicists, where generalized entropies were used but there are just few strict results or definitions



# Reasons of the generalization

- ▶ KS entropy is an isomorphism invariant; what about systems with equal entropy (e.g. zero entropy systems)
- ▶ how important are properties of  $\eta(x) = -x \ln x$  for the entropy in dynamical systems
- ▶ many articles were written by physicists, where generalized entropies were used but there are just few strict results or definitions

# Reasons of the generalization

- ▶ KS entropy is an isomorphism invariant; what about systems with equal entropy (e.g. zero entropy systems)
- ▶ how important are properties of  $\eta(x) = -x \ln x$  for the entropy in dynamical systems
- ▶ many articles were written by physicists, where generalized entropies were used but there are just few strict results or definitions

## Reasons of the generalization

- ▶ KS entropy is an isomorphism invariant; what about systems with equal entropy (e.g. zero entropy systems)
- ▶ how important are properties of  $\eta(x) = -x \ln x$  for the entropy in dynamical systems
- ▶ many articles were written by physicists, where generalized entropies were used but there are just few strict results or definitions

# Dynamical g-entropy

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g: [0, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}, g \text{ -- concave, } g(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} g(x) = 0\}.$$

Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . We define the g-entropy of the transformation  $T$  with respect to the partition  $\mathcal{P}$  (the dynamical g-entropy) as

$$h_\mu(g, T, \mathcal{P}) = h(g, \mathcal{P}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}_n} g(\mu(A)). \quad (3)$$

For  $g = \eta$  we obtain

$$h(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mu(A) \ln \mu(A).$$

# Dynamical g-entropy

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g: [0, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}, g \text{ - concave, } g(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} g(x) = 0\}.$$

Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . We define the g-entropy of the transformation  $T$  with respect to the partition  $\mathcal{P}$  (the dynamical g-entropy) as

$$h_\mu(g, T, \mathcal{P}) = h(g, \mathcal{P}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}_n} g(\mu(A)). \quad (3)$$

For  $g = \eta$  we obtain

$$h(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mu(A) \ln \mu(A).$$

## Dynamical g-entropy

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g: [0, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}, g \text{ - concave, } g(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} g(x) = 0\}.$$

Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . We define the g-entropy of the transformation  $T$  with respect to the partition  $\mathcal{P}$  (the dynamical g-entropy) as

$$h_\mu(g, T, \mathcal{P}) = h(g, \mathcal{P}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}_n} g(\mu(A)). \quad (3)$$

For  $g = \eta$  we obtain

$$h(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mu(A) \ln \mu(A).$$

## Dynamical g-entropy

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g: [0, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}, g \text{ - concave, } g(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} g(x) = 0\}.$$

Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . We define the g-entropy of the transformation  $T$  with respect to the partition  $\mathcal{P}$  (the dynamical g-entropy) as

$$h_\mu(g, T, \mathcal{P}) = h(g, \mathcal{P}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}_n} g(\mu(A)). \quad (3)$$

For  $g = \eta$  we obtain

$$h(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mu(A) \ln \mu(A).$$

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a finite partition.

1. If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$  is such that  $g'(0) < \infty$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) = 0$ .
2. If  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{G}_0$  are such that  $g_1'(0) = g_2'(0) = \infty$ ,

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} < \infty,$$

and  $h(g_2, \mathcal{P}) < \infty$ , then

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} \cdot h(g_2, \mathcal{P}) \leq h(g_1, \mathcal{P}).$$

If additionally  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} < \infty$ , then

$$h(g_1, \mathcal{P}) \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} \cdot h(g_2, \mathcal{P}).$$

3. If  $h(g_2, \mathcal{P}) = \infty$  and  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} > 0$ , then  $h(g_1, \mathcal{P}) = \infty$ .



## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a finite partition.

1. If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$  is such that  $g'(0) < \infty$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) = 0$ .
2. If  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{G}_0$  are such that  $g_1'(0) = g_2'(0) = \infty$ ,

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} < \infty,$$

and  $h(g_2, \mathcal{P}) < \infty$ , then

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} \cdot h(g_2, \mathcal{P}) \leq h(g_1, \mathcal{P}).$$

If additionally  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} < \infty$ , then

$$h(g_1, \mathcal{P}) \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} \cdot h(g_2, \mathcal{P}).$$

3. If  $h(g_2, \mathcal{P}) = \infty$  and  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} > 0$ , then  $h(g_1, \mathcal{P}) = \infty$ .

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a finite partition.

1. If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$  is such that  $g'(0) < \infty$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) = 0$ .
2. If  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{G}_0$  are such that  $g_1'(0) = g_2'(0) = \infty$ ,

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} < \infty,$$

and  $h(g_2, \mathcal{P}) < \infty$ , then

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} \cdot h(g_2, \mathcal{P}) \leq h(g_1, \mathcal{P}).$$

If additionally  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} < \infty$ , then

$$h(g_1, \mathcal{P}) \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} \cdot h(g_2, \mathcal{P}).$$

3. If  $h(g_2, \mathcal{P}) = \infty$  and  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} > 0$ , then  $h(g_1, \mathcal{P}) = \infty$ .

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a finite partition.

1. If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$  is such that  $g'(0) < \infty$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) = 0$ .
2. If  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{G}_0$  are such that  $g_1'(0) = g_2'(0) = \infty$ ,


$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} < \infty,$$

and  $h(g_2, \mathcal{P}) < \infty$ , then

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} \cdot h(g_2, \mathcal{P}) \leq h(g_1, \mathcal{P}).$$

If additionally  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} < \infty$ , then

$$h(g_1, \mathcal{P}) \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} \cdot h(g_2, \mathcal{P}).$$

3. If  $h(g_2, \mathcal{P}) = \infty$  and  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} > 0$ , then  $h(g_1, \mathcal{P}) = \infty$ . 

The behaviour of the quotient  $g(x)/\eta(x)$  as  $x$  converges to zero appears to be crucial for our considerations. Let

$$Ci(g) := \liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{\eta(x)}, \quad Cs(g) := \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{\eta(x)}.$$

and

$$C(g) := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{\eta(x)}.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{G}_0^0 = \{g \in \mathcal{G}_0 \mid C(g) = 0\},$$

e.g.  $g(x) = \frac{x-x^\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $g(x) = x \ln(1 - \ln x)$ ;

$$\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{Sh}} = \{g \in \mathcal{G}_0 \mid 0 < C(g) < \infty\}, \quad \text{e.g. } g(x) = -x \ln \sin x;$$

$$\mathcal{G}_0^\infty = \{g \in \mathcal{G}_0 \mid C(g) = \infty\},$$

e.g.  $g(x) = \sqrt{x}$ ,  $g(x) = \frac{x-x^\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

The behaviour of the quotient  $g(x)/\eta(x)$  as  $x$  converges to zero appears to be crucial for our considerations. Let

$$Ci(g) := \liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{\eta(x)}, \quad Cs(g) := \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{\eta(x)}.$$

and

$$C(g) := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{\eta(x)}.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{G}_0^0 = \{g \in \mathcal{G}_0 \mid C(g) = 0\},$$

e.g.  $g(x) = \frac{x-x^\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $g(x) = x \ln(1 - \ln x)$ ;

$$\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{Sh}} = \{g \in \mathcal{G}_0 \mid 0 < C(g) < \infty\}, \quad \text{e.g. } g(x) = -x \ln \sin x;$$

$$\mathcal{G}_0^\infty = \{g \in \mathcal{G}_0 \mid C(g) = \infty\},$$

e.g.  $g(x) = \sqrt{x}$ ,  $g(x) = \frac{x-x^\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

The behaviour of the quotient  $g(x)/\eta(x)$  as  $x$  converges to zero appears to be crucial for our considerations. Let

$$Ci(g) := \liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{\eta(x)}, \quad Cs(g) := \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{\eta(x)}.$$

and

$$C(g) := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{\eta(x)}.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{G}_0^0 = \{g \in \mathcal{G}_0 \mid C(g) = 0\},$$

e.g.  $g(x) = \frac{x-x^\alpha}{\alpha-1}, \alpha > 1, g(x) = x \ln(1 - \ln x);$

$$\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{Sh}} = \{g \in \mathcal{G}_0 \mid 0 < C(g) < \infty\}, \quad \text{e.g. } g(x) = -x \ln \sin x;$$

$$\mathcal{G}_0^\infty = \{g \in \mathcal{G}_0 \mid C(g) = \infty\},$$

e.g.  $g(x) = \sqrt{x}, g(x) = \frac{x-x^\alpha}{\alpha-1}, \alpha \in (0, 1).$

The behaviour of the quotient  $g(x)/\eta(x)$  as  $x$  converges to zero appears to be crucial for our considerations. Let

$$Ci(g) := \liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{\eta(x)}, \quad Cs(g) := \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{\eta(x)}.$$

and

$$C(g) := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{\eta(x)}.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{G}_0^0 = \{g \in \mathcal{G}_0 \mid C(g) = 0\},$$

e.g.  $g(x) = \frac{x-x^\alpha}{\alpha-1}, \alpha > 1, g(x) = x \ln(1 - \ln x);$

$$\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{Sh}} = \{g \in \mathcal{G}_0 \mid 0 < C(g) < \infty\}, \quad \text{e.g. } g(x) = -x \ln \sin x;$$

$$\mathcal{G}_0^\infty = \{g \in \mathcal{G}_0 \mid C(g) = \infty\},$$

e.g.  $g(x) = \sqrt{x}, g(x) = \frac{x-x^\alpha}{\alpha-1}, \alpha \in (0, 1).$

The behaviour of the quotient  $g(x)/\eta(x)$  as  $x$  converges to zero appears to be crucial for our considerations. Let

$$Ci(g) := \liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{\eta(x)}, \quad Cs(g) := \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{\eta(x)}.$$

and

$$C(g) := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{\eta(x)}.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{G}_0^0 = \{g \in \mathcal{G}_0 \mid C(g) = 0\},$$

e.g.  $g(x) = \frac{x-x^\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $g(x) = x \ln(1 - \ln x)$ ;

$$\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{Sh}} = \{g \in \mathcal{G}_0 \mid 0 < C(g) < \infty\}, \quad \text{e.g. } g(x) = -x \ln \sin x;$$

$$\mathcal{G}_0^\infty = \{g \in \mathcal{G}_0 \mid C(g) = \infty\},$$

e.g.  $g(x) = \sqrt{x}$ ,  $g(x) = \frac{x-x^\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .



## Corollary

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a finite partition and  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . Then

1. If  $Ci(g) < \infty$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) \geq Ci(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P})$ .
2. If  $Cs(g) < \infty$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) \in (Ci(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P}), Cs(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P}))$ .
3. If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^0 \cup \mathcal{G}_0^{Sh}$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) = C(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P})$ .
4. If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^\infty$  and  $h(\mathcal{P}) > 0$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) = \infty$ .

## Theorem

Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^\infty$  and  $T$  be an aperiodic, surjective automorphism of a Lebesgue space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  and let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there exists a partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{E, X \setminus E\}$ , such that

$$h(g, \mathcal{P}) \geq \gamma.$$

## Corollary

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a finite partition and  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . Then

1. If  $Ci(g) < \infty$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) \geq Ci(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P})$ .
2. If  $Cs(g) < \infty$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) \in (Ci(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P}), Cs(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P}))$ .
3. If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^0 \cup \mathcal{G}_0^{Sh}$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) = C(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P})$ .
4. If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^\infty$  and  $h(\mathcal{P}) > 0$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) = \infty$ .

## Theorem

Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^\infty$  and  $T$  be an aperiodic, surjective automorphism of a Lebesgue space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  and let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there exists a partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{E, X \setminus E\}$ , such that

$$h(g, \mathcal{P}) \geq \gamma.$$

## Corollary

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a finite partition and  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . Then

1. If  $Ci(g) < \infty$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) \geq Ci(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P})$ .
2. If  $Cs(g) < \infty$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) \in (Ci(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P}), Cs(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P}))$ .
3. If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^0 \cup \mathcal{G}_0^{Sh}$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) = C(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P})$ .
4. If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^\infty$  and  $h(\mathcal{P}) > 0$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) = \infty$ .

## Theorem

Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^\infty$  and  $T$  be an aperiodic, surjective automorphism of a Lebesgue space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  and let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there exists a partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{E, X \setminus E\}$ , such that

$$h(g, \mathcal{P}) \geq \gamma.$$

## Corollary

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a finite partition and  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . Then

1. If  $Ci(g) < \infty$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) \geq Ci(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P})$ .
2. If  $Cs(g) < \infty$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) \in (Ci(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P}), Cs(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P}))$ .
3. If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^0 \cup \mathcal{G}_0^{Sh}$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) = C(g) \cdot h(\mathcal{P})$ .
4. If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^\infty$  and  $h(\mathcal{P}) > 0$ , then  $h(g, \mathcal{P}) = \infty$ .

## Theorem

Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^\infty$  and  $T$  be an aperiodic, surjective automorphism of a Lebesgue space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  and let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there exists a partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{E, X \setminus E\}$ , such that

$$h(g, \mathcal{P}) \geq \gamma.$$

# Measure-theoretic g-entropy

Following the Kolmogorov proposition we take the supremum over all partitions of dynamical g-entropy of a partition. For a given system  $(X, \Sigma, \mu, T)$  we define

$$h_{\mu}(g, T) = \sup_{\mathcal{P}\text{-finite}} h_{\mu}(g, T, \mathcal{P}) \quad (4)$$

and call it the measure-theoretic g-entropy of transformation  $T$  with respect to measure  $\mu$ .

## Measure-theoretic g-entropy

Following the Kolmogorov proposition we take the supremum over all partitions of dynamical g-entropy of a partition. For a given system  $(X, \Sigma, \mu, T)$  we define

$$h_{\mu}(g, T) = \sup_{\mathcal{P}\text{-finite}} h_{\mu}(g, T, \mathcal{P}) \quad (4)$$

and call it the measure-theoretic g-entropy of transformation  $T$  with respect to measure  $\mu$ .

# Main theorem

## Theorem

Let  $T$  be an ergodic automorphism of Lebesgue space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ , and  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$  be such that  $Cs(g) \in (0, \infty)$ . Then

$$h_\mu(g, T) = \begin{cases} Cs(g) \cdot h_\mu(T), & \text{if } h_\mu(T) < \infty, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^0$ , then  $h_\mu(g, T) = 0$ . If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$  is such that  $Cs(g) = \infty$  and  $T$  has positive measure-theoretic entropy, then  $h_\mu(g, T) = \infty$ .

## Fact

Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^\infty$ . If  $(X, T)$  is aperiodic and surjective, then  $h_\mu(g, T) = \infty$ .

# Main theorem

## Theorem

Let  $T$  be an ergodic automorphism of Lebesgue space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ , and  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$  be such that  $Cs(g) \in (0, \infty)$  Then

$$h_\mu(g, T) = \begin{cases} Cs(g) \cdot h_\mu(T), & \text{if } h_\mu(T) < \infty, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^0$ , then  $h_\mu(g, T) = 0$ . If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$  is such that  $Cs(g) = \infty$  and  $T$  has positive measure-theoretic entropy, then  $h_\mu(g, T) = \infty$ .

## Fact

Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^\infty$ . If  $(X, T)$  is aperiodic and surjective, then  $h_\mu(g, T) = \infty$ .



# Rates of g-entropy convergence

F. Blume “Possible rates of entropy convergence” Ergod. Th.& Dynam. Sys. 17. 45–70 (1997)

Let  $(X, T)$  be a measure-preserving system,  $T$  -bijective,  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a monotone increasing sequence with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty$  and  $c \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a class of partitions of  $X$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . We say that  $(X, T)$  is of type  $(LS(g) \geq c)$  for  $((a_n), \mathcal{P})$  if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(g, \mathcal{P}_n)}{a_n} \geq c \text{ for all } \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}$$

and  $(X, T)$  is of type  $(LI(g) \geq c)$  for  $((a_n), \mathcal{P})$  if

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(g, \mathcal{P}_n)}{a_n} \geq c \text{ for all } \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}$$

where

$$H(g, \mathcal{P}_n) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}_n} g(\mu(A)).$$

## Rates of g-entropy convergence

F. Blume “Possible rates of entropy convergence” Ergod. Th.& Dynam. Sys. 17. 45–70 (1997)

Let  $(X, T)$  be a measure-preserving system,  $T$  –bijective,  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a monotone increasing sequence with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty$  and  $c \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a class of partitions of  $X$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . We say that  $(X, T)$  is of type  $(LS(g) \geq c)$  for  $((a_n), \mathcal{P})$  if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(g, \mathcal{P}_n)}{a_n} \geq c \text{ for all } \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}$$

and  $(X, T)$  is of type  $(LI(g) \geq c)$  for  $((a_n), \mathcal{P})$  if

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(g, \mathcal{P}_n)}{a_n} \geq c \text{ for all } \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}$$

where

$$H(g, \mathcal{P}_n) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}_n} g(\mu(A)).$$

## Rates of g-entropy convergence

F. Blume “Possible rates of entropy convergence” Ergod. Th.& Dynam. Sys. 17. 45–70 (1997)

Let  $(X, T)$  be a measure-preserving system,  $T$  –bijective,  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a monotone increasing sequence with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty$  and  $c \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a class of partitions of  $X$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . We say that  $(X, T)$  is of type  $(LS(g) \geq c)$  for  $((a_n), \mathcal{P})$  if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(g, \mathcal{P}_n)}{a_n} \geq c \text{ for all } \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}$$

and  $(X, T)$  is of type  $(LI(g) \geq c)$  for  $((a_n), \mathcal{P})$  if

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(g, \mathcal{P}_n)}{a_n} \geq c \text{ for all } \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}$$

where

$$H(g, \mathcal{P}_n) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}_n} g(\mu(A)).$$

- ▶ for  $g = \eta$  we obtain types of convergence introduced by Blume
- ▶ this invariant was used for aperiodic, completely ergodic and rank-one systems (Blume 1997, 1998, 2000, 2011)
- ▶ types  $LS(\eta)$ ,  $LI(\eta)$  were also used to distinguish some weakly mixing rank-one systems (Blume 1995)

- ▶ for  $g = \eta$  we obtain types of convergence introduced by Blume
- ▶ this invariant was used for aperiodic, completely ergodic and rank-one systems (Blume 1997, 1998, 2000, 2011)
- ▶ types  $LS(\eta)$ ,  $LI(\eta)$  were also used to distinguish some weakly mixing rank-one systems (Blume 1995)

## Choice of $\mathcal{P}$ and $(a_n)$

- ▶ class of partitions

$\mathcal{P}(X) := \{\mathcal{P} | \mathcal{P} = \{E, X \setminus E\} \text{ for some } E \in \Sigma \text{ with } 0 < \mu(E) < 1\}$ .

If  $(X, T)$  and  $(Y, S)$  are isomorphic measure-preserving systems, then  $(X, T)$  is of type  $(LS(g) \geq c)$  for  $((a_n), \mathcal{P}(X))$  iff  $(Y, S)$  is of type  $(LS(g) \geq c)$  for  $((a_n), \mathcal{P}(Y))$ .

- ▶ choice of  $(a_n)$

if  $(X, T)$  has zero entropy and  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^0 \cup \mathcal{G}_0^{\text{Sh}}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(g, \mathcal{P}_n)}{n} = 0$$

for all finite partitions  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $X$ . Therefore we consider  $(a_n)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = 0$ .

## Choice of $\mathcal{P}$ and $(a_n)$

- ▶ class of partitions

$\mathcal{P}(X) := \{\mathcal{P} \mid \mathcal{P} = \{E, X \setminus E\} \text{ for some } E \in \Sigma \text{ with } 0 < \mu(E) < 1\}$ .

If  $(X, T)$  and  $(Y, S)$  are isomorphic measure-preserving systems, then  $(X, T)$  is of type  $(LS(g) \geq c)$  for  $((a_n), \mathcal{P}(X))$  iff  $(Y, S)$  is of type  $(LS(g) \geq c)$  for  $((a_n), \mathcal{P}(Y))$ .

- ▶ choice of  $(a_n)$

if  $(X, T)$  has zero entropy and  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^0 \cup \mathcal{G}_0^{\text{Sh}}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(g, \mathcal{P}_n)}{n} = 0$$

for all finite partitions  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $X$ . Therefore we consider  $(a_n)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = 0$ .

## Choice of $\mathcal{P}$ and $(a_n)$

- ▶ class of partitions

$\mathcal{P}(X) := \{\mathcal{P} \mid \mathcal{P} = \{E, X \setminus E\} \text{ for some } E \in \Sigma \text{ with } 0 < \mu(E) < 1\}$ .

If  $(X, T)$  and  $(Y, S)$  are isomorphic measure-preserving systems, then  $(X, T)$  is of type  $(LS(g) \geq c)$  for  $((a_n), \mathcal{P}(X))$  iff  $(Y, S)$  is of type  $(LS(g) \geq c)$  for  $((a_n), \mathcal{P}(Y))$ .

- ▶ choice of  $(a_n)$

if  $(X, T)$  has zero entropy and  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^0 \cup \mathcal{G}_0^{\text{Sh}}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(g, \mathcal{P}_n)}{n} = 0$$

for all finite partitions  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $X$ . Therefore we consider  $(a_n)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = 0$ .



# Negative result

## Theorem

Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$  with  $C(g) > 0$ . If  $(X, T)$  is an aperiodic measure-preserving system and  $(a_n)$  is a positive monotone increasing sequence with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = 0$ , then  $(X, T)$  is not of type  $(LI(g) < \infty)$  for  $((a_n), P(X))$ .

# Can we get something new?

**Table:** Connections between  $\eta$ -entropy types and  $g$ -entropy types of convergence

	$g \in \mathcal{G}_0^0$	$g \in \mathcal{G}_0^{\text{Sh}}$	$g \in \mathcal{G}_0^\infty$
$\text{LS}(\eta) \leq c$	$\text{LS}(g) = 0$	$\text{LS}(g) \leq C(g) \cdot c$	$\text{LS}(g) \leq \infty,$ $\text{LS}(g) = \infty$
$\text{LS}(\eta) \geq c$	$\text{LS}(g) = 0,$ $\text{LS}(g) \geq 0$	$\text{LS}(g) \geq C(g) \cdot c$	$\text{LS}(g) = \infty$
$\text{LS}(\eta) < \infty$	$\text{LS}(g) = 0$	$\text{LS}(g) < \infty$	$\text{LS}(g) \leq \infty,$ $\text{LS}(g) = \infty$
$\text{LS}(\eta) = \infty$	$\text{LS}(g) < \infty,$ $\text{LS}(g) \leq \infty,$ $\text{LS}(g) = \infty$	$\text{LS}(g) = \infty$	$\text{LS}(g) = \infty$

## $g_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0^0$ – aperiodic systems

- ▶ Every subshift over two symbols is of type  $(\text{LS}(g) \leq 1)$  for  $((\varphi(2^{-n}))_{n=1}^{\infty}, P(X))$ .
- ▶ Let  $g_0(x) = x \log_2(1 - \log_2 x)$ .

### Theorem

If  $(X, T)$  is aperiodic and measure-preserving and

$\phi: [1, \infty) \mapsto (0, \infty)$  is an increasing function with  $\int_1^{\infty} \frac{\phi(x)}{x^2} dx < \infty$ ,

then for every  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{\mu(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{P}_n\} = 0$ , we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(g_0, \mathcal{P}_n)}{\phi(n g_0(1/n))} = \infty.$$

If  $\int_1^{\infty} \frac{\phi(x)}{x^2} dx = \infty$ , then there exists a weakly mixing system

$(X, T)$  and a meas. set  $E$  such that  $0 < \mu(E) < 1$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(g_0, \mathcal{P}_n) / \phi(n g_0(1/n)) = 0.$$

## $g_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0^0$ – aperiodic systems

- ▶ Every subshift over two symbols is of type  $(LS(g) \leq 1)$  for  $((\varphi(2^{-n}))_{n=1}^{\infty}, P(X))$ .
- ▶ Let  $g_0(x) = x \log_2(1 - \log_2 x)$ .

### Theorem

If  $(X, T)$  is aperiodic and measure-preserving and

$\phi: [1, \infty) \mapsto (0, \infty)$  is an increasing function with  $\int_1^{\infty} \frac{\phi(x)}{x^2} dx < \infty$ ,

then for every  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{\mu(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{P}_n\} = 0$ , we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(g_0, \mathcal{P}_n)}{\phi(n g_0(1/n))} = \infty.$$

If  $\int_1^{\infty} \frac{\phi(x)}{x^2} dx = \infty$ , then there exists a weakly mixing system

$(X, T)$  and a meas. set  $E$  such that  $0 < \mu(E) < 1$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(g_0, \mathcal{P}_n) / \phi(n g_0(1/n)) = 0.$$

## $g_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0^0$ – aperiodic systems

- ▶ Every subshift over two symbols is of type  $(LS(g) \leq 1)$  for  $((\varphi(2^{-n}))_{n=1}^{\infty}, P(X))$ .
- ▶ Let  $g_0(x) = x \log_2(1 - \log_2 x)$ .

### Theorem

If  $(X, T)$  is aperiodic and measure-preserving and

$\phi: [1, \infty) \mapsto (0, \infty)$  is an increasing function with  $\int_1^{\infty} \frac{\phi(x)}{x^2} dx < \infty$ ,

then for every  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{\mu(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{P}_n\} = 0$ , we

have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(g_0, \mathcal{P}_n)}{\phi(n g_0(1/n))} = \infty.$$

If  $\int_1^{\infty} \frac{\phi(x)}{x^2} dx = \infty$ , then there exists a weakly mixing system

$(X, T)$  and a meas. set  $E$  such that  $0 < \mu(E) < 1$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(g_0, \mathcal{P}_n) / \phi(n g_0(1/n)) = 0.$$

## $g_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0^0$ – completely ergodic systems

- ▶ If  $([0, 1], T)$  is completely ergodic, then there exists such a sequence  $(a_n)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty$ , that for every  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$  we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(g_0, \mathcal{P}_n)}{a_n} \geq 1.$$

- ▶ Under the assumption of the previous theorem there exists  $(a_n)$  such that  $(X, T)$  is of type  $LS(\eta) = \infty$  for  $((a_n), \mathcal{P}([0, 1]))$ .
- ▶ for every  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\eta, \mathcal{P}_n) = \infty.$$

## $g_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0^0$ – completely ergodic systems

- ▶ If  $([0, 1], T)$  is completely ergodic, then there exists such a sequence  $(a_n)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty$ , that for every  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$  we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(g_0, \mathcal{P}_n)}{a_n} \geq 1.$$

- ▶ Under the assumption of the previous theorem there exists  $(a_n)$  such that  $(X, T)$  is of type  $LS(\eta) = \infty$  for  $((a_n), \mathcal{P}([0, 1]))$ .
- ▶ for every  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\eta, \mathcal{P}_n) = \infty.$$

## $g_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0^0$ – completely ergodic systems

- ▶ If  $([0, 1], T)$  is completely ergodic, then there exists such a sequence  $(a_n)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty$ , that for every  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$  we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(g_0, \mathcal{P}_n)}{a_n} \geq 1.$$

- ▶ Under the assumption of the previous theorem there exists  $(a_n)$  such that  $(X, T)$  is of type  $LS(\eta) = \infty$  for  $((a_n), \mathcal{P}([0, 1]))$ .
- ▶ for every  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\eta, \mathcal{P}_n) = \infty.$$



- ▶ We may construct a class of rank-one weakly mixing systems where we can use type  $(LI(g) \geq c)$  for  $((a_n), P(X))$  to distinguish systems. Depending on the choice of  $g$  we may use other than  $\eta$ -entropy types of convergence to differ rank-one systems.

## Additional assumptions on $g$

$$g'(0) = \infty \quad (g \in \mathcal{G}_0^0)$$

Subderivativity of  $g$

The crucial property of the static  $g$ -entropy is the following:

$$H(g, \mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{Q}) \leq H(g, \mathcal{P}) + H(g, \mathcal{Q}|\mathcal{P})$$

It is sufficient that for every  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  function  $g$  fulfills the following condition

$$g(xy) \leq xg(y) + yg(x), \quad (5)$$

The condition is not easy to check. On the other hand if we want to construct such a function we can define

$$g(x) := xh(-\ln x),$$

where  $h : (0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is a concave, subadditive and increasing with  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = \infty$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(x)}{x} = 0$ .

## Additional assumptions on $g$

$$g'(0) = \infty \quad (g \in \mathcal{G}_0^0)$$

Subderivativity of  $g$

The crucial property of the static  $g$ -entropy is the following:

$$H(g, \mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{Q}) \leq H(g, \mathcal{P}) + H(g, \mathcal{Q}|\mathcal{P})$$

It is sufficient that for every  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  function  $g$  fulfills the following condition

$$g(xy) \leq xg(y) + yg(x), \quad (5)$$

The condition is not easy to check. On the other hand if we want to construct such a function we can define

$$g(x) := xh(-\ln x),$$

where  $h : (0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is a concave, subadditive and increasing with  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = \infty$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(x)}{x} = 0$ .

## Additional assumptions on $g$

$$g'(0) = \infty \quad (g \in \mathcal{G}_0^0)$$

### Subderivativity of $g$

The crucial property of the static  $g$ -entropy is the following:

$$H(g, \mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{Q}) \leq H(g, \mathcal{P}) + H(g, \mathcal{Q}|\mathcal{P})$$

It is sufficient that for every  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  function  $g$  fulfills the following condition

$$g(xy) \leq xg(y) + yg(x), \quad (5)$$

The condition is not easy to check. On the other hand if we want to construct such a function we can define

$$g(x) := xh(-\ln x),$$

where  $h : (0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is a concave, subadditive and increasing with  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = \infty$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(x)}{x} = 0$ .

## Additional assumptions on $g$

$$g'(0) = \infty \quad (g \in \mathcal{G}_0^0)$$

### Subderivativity of $g$

The crucial property of the static  $g$ -entropy is the following:

$$H(g, \mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{Q}) \leq H(g, \mathcal{P}) + H(g, \mathcal{Q}|\mathcal{P})$$

It is sufficient that for every  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  function  $g$  fulfills the following condition

$$g(xy) \leq xg(y) + yg(x), \quad (5)$$

The condition is not easy to check. On the other hand if we want to construct such a function we can define

$$g(x) := xh(-\ln x),$$

where  $h : (0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is a concave, subadditive and increasing with  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = \infty$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(x)}{x} = 0$ .

## Additional assumptions on $g$

$$g'(0) = \infty \quad (g \in \mathcal{G}_0^0)$$

### Subderivativity of $g$

The crucial property of the static  $g$ -entropy is the following:

$$H(g, \mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{Q}) \leq H(g, \mathcal{P}) + H(g, \mathcal{Q}|\mathcal{P})$$

It is sufficient that for every  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  function  $g$  fulfills the following condition

$$g(xy) \leq xg(y) + yg(x), \quad (5)$$

The condition is not easy to check. On the other hand if we want to construct such a function we can define

$$g(x) := xh(-\ln x),$$

where  $h : (0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is a concave, subadditive and increasing with  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = \infty$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(x)}{x} = 0$ .

# Examples of subderivative functions

- ▶ for  $h(x) = \ln(1 + x)$ , we get  $g(x) = x \ln(1 - \ln x)$ ,
- ▶ for  $h(x) = x^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  we have  $g(x) = x(-\ln x)^\alpha$ ,
- ▶ if

$$h(x) := \begin{cases} x, & \text{for } x \in [0, 1) \\ 2^{-k}x + 2^{k+1} - 2, & \text{for } x \in [4^k, 4^{k+1}), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \end{cases}$$

then

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } x = 0, \\ -2^{-k}x \log_2 x + x(2^{k+1} - 2), & \text{for } x \in (2^{-4^{k+1}}, 2^{-4^k}], \quad k \\ -x \log_2 x, & \text{for } x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

## Examples of subderivative functions

- ▶ for  $h(x) = \ln(1 + x)$ , we get  $g(x) = x \ln(1 - \ln x)$ ,
- ▶ for  $h(x) = x^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  we have  $g(x) = x(-\ln x)^\alpha$ ,
- ▶ if

$$h(x) := \begin{cases} x, & \text{for } x \in [0, 1) \\ 2^{-k}x + 2^{k+1} - 2, & \text{for } x \in [4^k, 4^{k+1}), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \end{cases}$$

then

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } x = 0, \\ -2^{-k}x \log_2 x + x(2^{k+1} - 2), & \text{for } x \in (2^{-4^{k+1}}, 2^{-4^k}], \quad k \\ -x \log_2 x, & \text{for } x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$



## Examples of subderivative functions

- ▶ for  $h(x) = \ln(1 + x)$ , we get  $g(x) = x \ln(1 - \ln x)$ ,
- ▶ for  $h(x) = x^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  we have  $g(x) = x(-\ln x)^\alpha$ ,
- ▶ if

$$h(x) := \begin{cases} x, & \text{for } x \in [0, 1) \\ 2^{-k}x + 2^{k+1} - 2, & \text{for } x \in [4^k, 4^{k+1}), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \end{cases}$$

then

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } x = 0, \\ -2^{-k}x \log_2 x + x(2^{k+1} - 2), & \text{for } x \in (2^{-4^{k+1}}, 2^{-4^k}], \quad k \\ -x \log_2 x, & \text{for } x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

## Examples of subderivative functions

- ▶ for  $h(x) = \ln(1 + x)$ , we get  $g(x) = x \ln(1 - \ln x)$ ,
- ▶ for  $h(x) = x^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  we have  $g(x) = x(-\ln x)^\alpha$ ,
- ▶ if

$$h(x) := \begin{cases} x, & \text{for } x \in [0, 1) \\ 2^{-k}x + 2^{k+1} - 2, & \text{for } x \in [4^k, 4^{k+1}), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \end{cases}$$

then

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } x = 0, \\ -2^{-k}x \log_2 x + x(2^{k+1} - 2), & \text{for } x \in (2^{-4^{k+1}}, 2^{-4^k}], \quad k \\ -x \log_2 x, & \text{for } x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$